

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/071,368	02/08/2002	Ryusuke Hasegawa	H0002699 (4710)	1788	
7590 11/22/2006			EXAMINER		
Staas & Halsy	LLP	NGUYEN, TUYEN T			
1201 New York Suite 700	Avenue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20005			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/071,368	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832		

	Examiner	Artonit	1
•	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a No wing replies: (1) an amendm otice of Appeal (with appeal	otice of Appeal. To avoid aba nent, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	_	set forth in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from th	e mailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for re or than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The approprepropreproprepropression of the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complicing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (s		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a se		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		) ☐ will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-5, 7-8, 11-13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filled and sufficient reasons why the	ing a Notice of Appeal will no affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Tuyen Ng Tuyen T. No Primary Exa Technology Cer	minor

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's newly amendment require further search and/or reconsideration.